

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,840	01/16/2004	Zhen He	200310950-1	6956	
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 03/10/200 ACKARD COMPANY	8	EXAM	UNER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			BRINICH, S	BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2625			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2008	ELECTRONIC	

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/758,840	HE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEPHEN M. BRINICH	2625	

	STEPHEN M. BRINICH	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO principle of reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period we have a superior of the provision	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	– action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
Al Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 32-34 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b)  objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

- Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/04, 6/26/06.

- Interview Summary (PTO-413
   Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10758840	1/16/04	HE ET AI	200310950-1

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER			
STEPHEN M. BRINICH			
ART UNIT	PAPER		

20080227

DATE MAILED:

2625

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

/Stephen M Brinich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625 Application/Control Number: 10/758,840 Art Unit: 2625

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1 (and dependent claims 2-12), claim 1, lines 6-7 recites that the image data of the subsets is processed "independently" (i.e. each is processed without regard for the others); however, claim 1, lines 10-12 recites that the processing of one of the subsets comprises using a modulation of thresholds in common with of another of the subsets (i.e. the subset and the other subset are not processed independently).

Re claim 13 (and dependent claims 14-20), claim 13, line 4 recites that the image data of the subsets is processed by error diffusion "independently" (i.e. each is processed without regard for the others); however, claim 13, lines 5-7 recites that the error diffusion processing of one of the subsets comprises

Application/Control Number: 10/758,840 Art Unit: 2625

processing using image data of another of the subsets (i.e. the subset and the other subset are not processed independently).

Re claim 19, the phrase "communications intermediate the processors" in claim 19, lines 2-3 is unclear.

Re claim 21 (and dependent claims 22-28), claim 21, lines 5-7 recites that the image data of the subsets is processed by error diffusion "independently" (i.e. each is processed without regard for the others); however, claim 21, lines 7-10 recites that the error diffusion processing of one of the subsets is implemented using image data of another of the subsets (i.e. the subset and the other subset are not processed independently).

Re claim 29 (and dependent claim 30), claim 29, lines 5-7 recites that the image data of the subsets is processed "independently" (i.e. each is processed without regard for the others); however, claim 29, lines 10-14 recites that the processing of one of the subsets comprises using a modulation of thresholds in common with of another of the subsets (i.e. the subset and the other subset are not processed independently).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 13, 18-21, & 31, insofar as they are understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pritchard (US 2003/0137698).

Re claims 13, 18, & 21, insofar as they are understood, Pritchard discloses (paragraphs 0031-0032 & 0037; Figures 5 & 7) an image data processing method in which a plurality of pixel subsets (regions) are defined and processed parallel by respective processors. This process uses error-diffusion halftoning, and diffuses error data from one region to another (thus, the processing of one region comprises processing using image data of another region). The subsets are portions of the full image (e.g. Figure 5 shows them as vertical stripes of the image) which combine to form the full image.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,840 Art Unit: 2625

Re claim 19, insofar as it is understood, the above described diffusing of error data from one region to another inherently communicates information from the processor that processes one region to the processor that processes the other region.

Re claim 20, insofar as it is understood, the above described processors operate on different input data (each from a respective region, with some use of data from another region as described above), and thus are not "synchronized" at least insofar as they do not simultaneously operate on the same data.

Re claim 31, insofar as it is understood, Pritchard discloses (paragraph 0037) the use of a memory to provide data to the processor (which memory must therefore inherently be a "processor-usable media) configured to cause the processor to carry out the above described processing

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-12 & 29-30, insofar as they are understood, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. Claims 14-17 & 22-28, insofar as they are understood, would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and

Art Unit: 2625

to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 6. Claims 32-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 1 & 29 (and dependent claims 2-12 & 30), insofar as they are understood, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement of modulating the thresholds of pixels in an overlapping region of pixels adjacent to a boundary between pixel subsets in conjunction with the recited independent processing arrangement in which pixel subsets are processed using a common modulation pattern.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,840 Art Unit: 2625

Re claim 14, 22, & 32 (and dependent claims 15-17, 23-27, & 33-34), insofar as they are understood, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement of processing pixels using image data in an overlapping region of pixels adjacent to a boundary between pixel subsets in conjunction with the recited independent processing arrangement in which pixel subsets are processed using pixel data from other subsets.

Re claim 28, insofar as it is understood, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement of discarding processed image data of one image subset in conjunction with the recited independent processing arrangement in which pixel subsets are processed using pixel data from other subsets.

#### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Silverbrook et al discloses an example of overlappingregion halftone printing.

9. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application, entry of papers into this application, or other any inquiries of a general nature concerning application processing should be Art Unit: 2625

directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

The examiner's unit designation has been changed from "Art Unit 2624" to "Technology Division 2625" (as of March 20, 2006).

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300.

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

/Stephen M Brinich/

Examiner, Art Unit 2625